

One more mechanism of electric charge non-conservation

Alexander D. Dolgov^(a,b), Hideki Maeda^(c,d) and Takashi Torii^(c)

^(a) INFN, sezione di Ferrara, via Paradiso, 12, 44100 - Ferrara, Italy

^(b) ITEP, Bol. Cheremushkinskaya 25, Moscow 113259, Russia

^(c) Advanced Research Institute for Science and Engineering, Waseda University, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan

^(d) Department of Physics, Waseda University, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan

(February 1, 2008)

If photon mass is not exactly zero, though arbitrary small, electric charge would not be conserved in interaction of charged particles with black holes. Manifestations of such mechanism of electric charge non-conservation are discussed.

Conservation of electric charge is an intrinsic property of the standard massless electrodynamics because the Maxwell equations

$$\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = J^\nu, \quad (1)$$

automatically impose the condition of current conservation, $\nabla_\mu J^\mu = 0$. To avoid this restriction one has to change the theory prescribing a non-vanishing mass to photon. So instead of the Maxwell equations the Proca equations for a massive vector field should be used:

$$\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} + m^2 A^\nu = J^\nu. \quad (2)$$

Now non-conservation of electric current may be compatible with the equations of motion.

However such a model of electric charge non-conservation encounters serious difficulties [1,2] because of very tight experimental (observational) upper limits on the photon mass, $m < 10^{-33}$ eV, for a review see Refs. [3]. If the photon mass is introduced simply by addition of $m^2 A^2/2$ -term into Lagrangian (hard mass introduction) the theory with nonconserved current would be nonrenormalizable, and the amplitudes in higher orders of perturbation theory strongly rise with energy. Perturbative amplitude of emission of longitudinal photons contains extremely large factors $\sim (E/m)^n$, where E is the characteristic energy of the process with charge non-conservation, e.g. for electron decay, $e \rightarrow \nu + \gamma$ it is $E \sim 0.5$ MeV. As was argued in Ref. [2] (see also [4]) summation of radiative corrections to the $e\text{-}\nu\text{-}\gamma$ -vertex (or to any other charge nonconserving one) leads to “self-healing” of the charge non-conservation: the probability of any process where charge is not conserved becomes exponentially small.

If electromagnetic $U(1)$ -symmetry is broken spontaneously [1,2] by the Higgs mechanism (soft mass introduction) the theory would be renormalizable and neither rising with energy amplitudes nor self-healing would be present. In such a model, however, a light charged scalar particle should exist in contradiction with experiment. The contradiction would disappear if the charge of new light boson would be very small at the level of $10^{-3}e$, where e is the charge of electron [5].

A new idea was put forward recently in Ref. [6] based on the assumption that our four-dimensional world is a

brane embedded in the higher dimensional space with infinite extra dimensions [7]. The authors of the papers [6] suggested that the charged particles can leak into extra dimensions leaving impression that electric charge is nonconserved in our four-dimensional world even with strictly massless photons. According to this work, observation of electric charge nonconservation could be a proof of existence of large extra dimensions.

In this paper we would like to discuss a different mechanism of electric charge nonconservation which, though demands a non-zero value of the photon mass, could be efficient with an arbitrary small value of the latter without leakage into higher dimensions. For example this mechanism would operate if the Compton wave length of the photon is equal to cosmological horizon and $m \sim H$, where H is the Hubble parameter, or even for much smaller values of the mass. The arguments that the photon mass may be nonzero due to quantum effects in de Sitter space-time were recently presented in Ref. [8]. The mechanism is based on the well known fact that black holes do not have any hairs related to massive vector fields. Thus a charged particle captured by black hole would disappear without leaving any trace in the form of the Coulomb field. The Coulomb field begins to die down gradually when the charged particle approaches horizon and the effect is nonvanishing for any small value of the photon mass with discontinuous limit when $m \rightarrow 0$ [9].

Let us consider spherically symmetric uniformly charged shell with radius R_c concentric with a spherically symmetric matter shell with radius R_m whose mass is M . Let us assume for simplicity that the mass of the charged shell is negligible in comparison with the mass of the matter shell, so the gravitational field is completely determined by the matter shell. We will always assume that the charged shell is outside the matter shell, $R_m < R_c$ and calculate the electric field created by this configuration.

Let us first consider the case when there is no black hole or, in other words, the radius of the matter shell is larger than its gravitational radius, $R_g < R_m$. To obtain simple jump conditions for the Proca field A_μ across the matter shell, we adopt the coordinate system (u, r, θ, ϕ) in which the metric is continuous;

$$ds^2 = K^2 du^2 + 2du dr - R(r)^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (3)$$

for the inner Minkowski region $R_m(1 - K) < r < R_m$ and

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{R_g}{r}\right) du^2 + 2du dr - r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (4)$$

for the outer Schwarzschild region $r > R_m$. Here $K = (1 - R_g/R_m)^{1/2}$ and $R(r) = [r - R_m(1 - K)]/K$ is the area radius. In this coordinate system, both components A_u and A_r of vector potential are non-vanishing even in the static case. For $R_m(1 - K) \leq r < R_m$, the coordinates u and r are related to the standard coordinates $\bar{u}$ and $\bar{r}$ by the transformation $\bar{u} = Ku$ and $\bar{r} = R(r)$, i.e., $r = R_m(1 - K)$ corresponds to the physical center.

Inside the matter shell, the Proca field satisfies the following equations:

$$\frac{1}{R^2} (R^2 A'_u)' - \frac{m^2}{K^2} A_u = 0, \quad A_u = K^2 A_r, \quad (5)$$

where prime denotes derivative with respect to radial coordinate r . The solution which is regular at the center is

$$A_u = C_3 \frac{\sinh m\bar{r}}{\bar{r}}, \quad (6)$$

where C_3 is a constant determined from the junction conditions at the matter shell.

Outside the matter shell, the Proca field in the Schwarzschild background satisfies the following equations:

$$\frac{1}{r^2} (r^2 A'_u)' - \frac{m^2 r}{r - R_g} A_u = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$A_r = \left(1 - \frac{R_g}{r}\right)^{-1} A_u, \quad (8)$$

where prime denotes derivative with respect to radial coordinate r . It is convenient to introduce the new function $q = r A_u$ and the new variable $y = 2m(r - R_g)$. In terms of these quantities the Proca equation (7) takes the form:

$$\frac{d^2 q}{dy^2} - \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mu}{2y}\right) q = 0, \quad (9)$$

where $\mu := mR_g$. This equation has the form of the Whittaker differential equation and the solution is expressed in terms of confluent hypergeometric functions [10]:

$$q(y) = C_i y e^{-y/2} \Phi(1 + \mu/2, 2, y) + B_i y e^{-y/2} \Psi(1 + \mu/2, 2, y), \quad (10)$$

where the coefficients C_i and B_i are constants to be determined from the boundary conditions and the junction conditions. They have different values in different space regions and this is indicated by the index i . $i = 1$ implies the outside region of the charged shell, while $i = 2$

does inside. When $y \rightarrow \infty$, the function Φ rises as $y^{-1+\mu/2} \exp(y)$, while Ψ decreases as $\Psi \sim y^{-(1+\mu/2)}$. So for $r > R_c$ the solution is given by the expression (10) with $C_1 = 0$, while for $r < R_c$ both coefficients B_2 and C_2 may be non-zero.

Since there are two shells, we should consider the junction conditions of the Proca field there. There is no charge distribution on the matter shell $r = R_m$, hence the Proca field and its first derivative are continuous there, i.e., $[A_u]^\pm = 0$ and $[A'_u]^\pm = 0$, where $[f(r)]^\pm = f(r+0) - f(r-0)$. On the other hand, the junction condition on the charged shell is the usual one that the discontinuity of the derivative of the electric field on the shell is equal to the charge density:

$$[A_u]^\pm = 0, \quad [A'_u]^\pm = 4\pi\sigma. \quad (11)$$

Now all the coefficients B_i and C_i can be determined. We obtain the coefficient of the outer region 1 from the junction conditions as

$$B_1 = \frac{Q e^{y_c/2} (F\Phi_c - G\Psi_c)}{2m y_c R_c F(\Phi_c \Psi'_c - \Phi'_c \Psi_c)}, \quad (12)$$

where $Q = 4\pi\sigma R_c^2$ is the total charge of the shell, prime denotes derivative with respect to y and

$$F = 2m R_m y_m \Psi'_m - P \Psi_m, \quad (13)$$

$$G = 2m R_m y_m \Phi'_m - P \Phi_m, \quad (14)$$

$$P = \frac{y_m}{K} \left(\frac{m R_m}{\tanh(m R_m)} - 1 \right) - 2m R_g + m R_m y_m. \quad (15)$$

The sub-index m and c imply the values evaluated at $y = y_m = 2m(R_m - R_g)$ (i.e., $r = R_m$) and $y = y_c = 2m(R_c - R_g)$ (i.e., $r = R_c$), respectively.

From now on we will consider some limiting cases. The confluent hypergeometric functions behaves around $y = 0$ as

$$\Phi(1 + \mu/2, 2, y) \sim 1 + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\mu}{4}\right) y, \quad (16)$$

$$\Psi(1 + \mu/2, 2, y) \sim \Gamma(1 + \mu/2)^{-1} \frac{1}{y} + \Gamma(\mu/2)^{-1} \ln y + O(1), \quad (17)$$

where Γ is gamma function. It should be noted that the $y \rightarrow 0$ limit is realized by different two cases, one of which is $r \rightarrow R_g$ and the other is $mr \rightarrow 0$. In the latter case, $O(1)$ term in Eq. (17) vanished since $\Psi(1, 2, y) = 1/y$ becomes exact. This fact will be used to calculate to next leading order below.

First we examine the limit of small photon mass, i.e. $mR_{c,m,g} \rightarrow 0$ and $mr \rightarrow 0$. Taking this limit, we find

$$B_1 = -Q(1 - mR_g - \mu\gamma/2), \quad (18)$$

where γ is Euler's constant and this implies

$$A_u \sim -\frac{Q}{r}(1 - mr). \quad (19)$$

This is the standard expression for electrostatic Coulomb potential in massless electrodynamics. In this case the limit to zero mass of the photon is smooth.

Now let us consider a different limiting situation when $R_m \rightarrow R_g$, which corresponds to the space-time with a black hole. We find that

$$B_1 \sim \frac{Q \exp(y_c/2) \Phi_c}{2m y_c R_c (\Phi_c \Psi'_c - \Phi'_c \Psi_c)} \times \left[1 + \frac{2m R_g^{1/2} \Gamma(1 + \mu/2) \Psi_c}{\Phi_c} \left(\frac{m R_g}{\tanh(m R_g)} - 1 \right)^{-1} \times (R_m - R_g)^{1/2} \right]. \quad (20)$$

We will obtain essentially the same solution if we assume that the black hole is already formed, i.e., we take $R_m < R_g$ from the very beginning. In this case the solution for the vector potential inside the charged shell should not contain the function Ψ because the solution would be singular at the horizon, $\Psi \sim y^{-1}$, and the electric field $E = -\nabla A_t$ would be infinite for $y \rightarrow 0$. The conditions of continuity of the potential at $r = R_c$ and the discontinuity of its derivative (11) permit to determine both coefficients B_1 and C_2 .

Next we make the charged shell close to the gravitational radius, $R_c \rightarrow R_g$, keeping the mass m non-zero. The result is

$$B_1 \sim -Q \frac{R_c - R_g}{R_g} \Gamma(1 + \mu/2). \quad (21)$$

Hence the electric field vanishes as the charged shell approaches the gravitational radius and disappears completely when the charged shell is swallowed by the black hole. This indicates the non-conservation of the charge.

We can consider another limiting case: $R_m \rightarrow R_g$ and after that $m \rightarrow 0$. In this case the electrostatic potential takes the form

$$A_t \sim -\frac{Q}{r} \frac{R_c - R_g}{R_c} [1 - m(r - R_g)], \quad (22)$$

for relatively small distances, $mr \ll 1$. There is a finite change of the potential discontinuous at the point $m = 0$ [9].

To see how this discontinuity arises is convenient to present the solution in the (realistic) case of small photon mass such that the product $m R_{c,m,g} \ll 1$ and $mr \ll 1$ but keeping the finite difference $(R_m - R_g)$. The effective charge q in this case is

$$q = Q \left[1 - \frac{R_m}{R_c} \frac{(m R_m)^2 \sqrt{R_m/(R_m - R_g)}}{3 + (m R_m)^2 \sqrt{R_m/(R_m - R_g)}} \right] \quad (23)$$

One can easily see from this expression how the two limiting cases presented above are realized. The boundary between them is determined by the value of the product:

$$\Delta = (m R_m)^2 \sqrt{R_m/(R_m - R_g)}. \quad (24)$$

If $\Delta \ll 1$ the usual massless electrodynamics with conserved electric charge would be valid. On the other hand, if $R_m - R_g \ll R_m (R_m m)^4$, charge non-conservation would be effective.

The solutions that we have found above are true in asymptotically flat space-time. So there may be essential corrections to them at the distances comparable to cosmological horizon. Still the main result that electric charge must be non-conserved in the process of black hole capture of charged particles, if photon has non-zero but even vanishingly small mass, most probably remains true. After the charged particle capture the Coulomb field that existed at large distances must also disappear. One can obtain a feeling how it disappears in adiabatic approximation assuming that the charged sphere slowly approaches the gravitational radius, $R_c = R_c(t) \rightarrow R_g$. In this process the Coulomb field should be radiated away in the form of longitudinal photons. To calculate the law of their emission one has to solve time-dependent problem when both A_t and A_r are non-vanishing. Technically it is much more difficult than considered here adiabatic approach and we will consider it in future work.

According to these considerations charged particle capture by a black hole could lead to a cosmological electric charge asymmetry and electric forces at the scales below Compton wave length of photon, $r < 1/m$ might be cosmologically interesting. They even could lead to an accelerated expansion. Electric charge asymmetry might be also generated by black hole evaporation in way analogous to generation of baryon asymmetry by the similar process of black hole evaporation [11]. Dynamics and efficiency of generation of electric charge asymmetry, however, would be different from generation of baryonic one because of presence of long range forces in the former case.

There could be also some interesting processes in particle physics due to interactions with virtual black holes, for example the decay $e \rightarrow \nu + \text{photons}$, inequality of proton and electron charges and some other effects which have already been discussed in the literature in connection with the hypothesis of electric charge nonconservation (see the papers [1,2,5]). It is difficult, however, to estimate the probability of such processes because calculations of the effects associated with virtual black hole interactions demand still missing knowledge of strong quantum gravity. Electric charge nonconservation may be possibly observed at high energy colliders if the fundamental scale of gravity is much lower than the usual Planck scale [12]. One may expect in this case that small size black holes would be abundantly produced in next generation accelerators or in high energy cosmic rays [13] (see however criticism of ref. [14]) and the probability of accompanying processes with nonconserved electric charge would be quite high.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.D. is grateful to Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics for hospitality during period when this work was done, and to I.B. Khriplovich for discussions.

-
- [1] L.B. Okun, Y.B. Zeldovich, Phys. Lett. B **78**, 597 (1978).
 - [2] L.B. Okun, M.B. Voloshin, JETP Lett. **28**, 145 (1978) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **28**, 156 (1978)].
 - [3] A.S. Goldhaber, M.M. Nieto, Rev. Mod. Phys. **43**, 277 (1971);
A.D. Dolgov, Y.B. Zeldovich, Rev. Mod. Phys. **53**, 1 (1981).
 - [4] M. M. Tsypin, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **50** (1989) 269.
 - [5] A.Y. Ignatev, V.A. Kuzmin, M.E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B **84**, 315 (1979);
A.Y. Ignatev, V.A. Kuzmin, M.E. Shaposhnikov, “*On The Electric Charge Nonconservation In Gauge Theories And Electron Stability*,” In **Kyoto 1979, Proceedings, 16th International Cosmic Ray Conference, Vol. 7*, 400-404*;
A.Y. Ignatev, V.A. Kuzmin, M.E. Shaposhnikov, “*Electron Stability And Charge Fragmentation In Gauge Theories*,” INR preprint IYaI-P-0142, 1980;
R.N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59** (1987) 1510;
M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. **D38** (1988) 1544;
M.I. Dobroliubov, A. Y. Ignatev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65** (1990) 679;
M. Maruno, E. Takasugi, M. Tanaka, Prog. Theor. Phys. **86** (1991) 907; R. N. Mohapatra, S. Nussinov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A7** (1992) 3817.
 - [6] S.L. Dubovsky, V.A. Rubakov, P.G. Tinyakov, JHEP **0008**, 041 (2000);
S.L.Dubovsky, V.A.Rubakov, hep-th/0204205
 - [7] K. Akama, Lect. Notes Phys. **176**, 267 (1982) [arXiv:hep-th/0001113];
V.A. Rubakov, M.E. Shaposhnikov, Phys. Lett. B **125**, 136 (1983);
G. Dvali, M. Shifman, Phys. Lett. B **396**, 64 (1997);
L. Randall, R. Sundrum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4690 (1999).
 - [8] T. Prokopec, O. Tornkvist, R. Woodard, astro-ph/0205331.
 - [9] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D **20**, 373 (1979);
B. Leaute, B. Linet, GRG, **17** 783 (1985).
 - [10] I.S. Gradshtein and M. Ryzhik, *Tables of Integrals, Series, and Products*. Academic Press, Inc., 1994, ed. A. Jeffrey.
 - [11] S.W. Hawking, Caltech preprint, 1975 (unpublished);
B.J. Carr, Astrophys. J. **206**, 8 (1976);
Ya.B. Zeldovich, Pis'ma ZhETF, **24**, 29 (1976);
A.D. Dolgov, ZhETF, **79**, 337 (1980);
A.D. Dolgov, Phys. Rev. **D24**, 1042 (1981);
A. D. Dolgov, P. D. Naselsky, and I. D. Novikov, astro-ph/0009407.
 - [12] N. Arkani-Hamed, S. Dimopoulos, G. Dvali, Phys. Lett. B **429**, 263 (1998).
 - [13] T. Banks, W. Fischler, hep-th/9906038;
S.B. Giddings, S. Thomas, Phys.Rev. D **65**,056010 (2002);
S. Dimopoulos, G. Landsberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 161602 (2001);
S. Dimopoulos, R. Emparan, Phys. Lett. B **526**, 393 (2002);
J.L. Feng, A.D. Shapere, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 021303, (2002);
L.A. Anchordoqui, H. Goldberg, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 047502 (2002);
L.A. Anchordoqui, J.L. Feng, H. Goldberg, A.D. Shapere, Phys.Rev. D **65**, 124027 (2002);
A. Ringwald, H. Tu, Phys. Lett. B **525**, 135 (2002);
K. Cheung, Phys.Rev.Lett. **88**, 221602 (2002).
 - [14] M.B. Voloshin, Phys.Lett. B**518**, 137 (2001);
M.B. Voloshin, Phys.Lett. B**524**, 376 (2002).